

South Central Action Area February 26, 2008

Workshop Agenda

- 1:00 Welcome and introductory remarks
- 1:10 Sound-wide status and threats: Presentation and discussion
- 1:40 Local status and threats: Presentation of local assessments
- 2:10 Small group discussions

Breakout groups based on Partnership goals: water quality, water quantity, species/biodiversity, habitat/land use, quality of life, and human health

Purpose: Breakout session will provide local experts an opportunity to add their local knowledge and perspectives to the status and threats analysis being conducted for Puget Sound.

Desired outcomes:

1. Understand what local experts believe to be the most important indicators of ecosystem health within each goal area. This information will be used to inform the Sound-wide indicators development process. It will also be used to better understand local conditions within the action area.
2. Build common understanding of the local knowledge regarding the status of Puget Sound's health for each goal within each action area.
3. Build common understanding of the local knowledge the action area-specific threats to achieving a healthy Puget Sound within each goal.
4. Begin the discussion regarding criteria that should be used to evaluate actions to include in the 2020 Action Agenda.

Questions for breakout groups:

Indicators for the goal

- For each goal, what are the indicators or attributes that you are measuring and tracking (e.g., dissolved oxygen, habitat acres, shellfish beds available for harvest, number of jobs, etc.)?

Status of the goal

- What is the status of the indicators for this goal? What assessments are available (completed and/or in progress) for these indicators? (e.g., salmon plans, TMDL plans, 2514 work, other local plans and assessments)

Threats to the goal

- What are the known threats to this goal? What assessments are available (completed and/or in progress) for threats to the goal?
- Based on existing documentation, what do you think are the greatest threats to the South Central action area?

Criteria to establish priorities

- What conceptual models that link status to threats exist for this goal and/or geographic area (e.g., many local salmon plans have a hypothesis or model; some areas have other types of conceptual models)?
- What criteria are you using now to establish priority actions?
- What other criteria do you think should be considered?

3:30 Break

3:50 Gather to summarize discussion and report back highlights

4:20 Group discussion and initial conclusions

- What overall criteria start to emerge for setting priorities?
- What are the implications of setting local priorities?

4:45 Getting to May: Next steps & conceptual model

5:00 Adjourn workshop

South Central Action Area February 26, 2008

Community Conversation Agenda

5:30 Welcome and introductions

5:45 Overview of Puget Sound Partnership and Action Agenda

6:00 Presentation of workshop discussion

6:30 Open public comment and discussion period

7:25 Closing remarks and next steps

7:30 Adjourn